

Re:

**United States Government** 

## NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD 1099 14<sup>th</sup> STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20570

International Longshore and Warehouse Union, Local 8 and 40 (ICTSI

Oregon, Inc.)
Case 19-CC-100903

## **ORDER**

Charging Party's Motion to Strike Portions of Respondents' Reply Brief or, in the alternative, to File a Surrebuttal is denied. In consideration of the need for administrative finality, surreply briefs are generally not permitted, "except by special leave of the Board." Here, the Charging Party has not presented any circumstances warranting special leave. *Baker Electric*, 330 NLRB 521, 523, fn. 4 (2000). Moreover, having already filed a *Reliant* letter regarding the *Kinder Morgan* decision, the Respondents did not violate Section 102.46(h) of the Board's Rules and Regulations when they responded to the Charging Party's and General Counsel's work preservation/right-to-control arguments with a discussion of *Kinder Morgan* in their reply brief.

Dated, Washington, D.C., March 23, 2015.

By direction of the Board:

Farah Z. Qureshi Associate Executive Secretary